

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,395	11/04/2003	David C. Silverman	713629.353 (413670)	5823
27128	1590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP 720 OLIVE STREET			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
SUITE 2400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
	10/700,395	SILVERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ellen M. McAvoy	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTe, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-98 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,42-47,49-55,68-73 and 96-98 is/a 7) Claim(s) 10-41,48,56-67 and 74-95 is/are objection and/of- 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of- Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. are rejected. acted to. or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 29 April 2004.	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,395

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 42-47, 49-55, 68-73 and 96-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lamanna et al (6,555,510).

Lamanna et al ["Lamanna"] discloses the use of bis(perfluoroalkanesulfonyl)imide and its salts as surfactants or additives in aqueous and nonaqueous solutions for applications having an extreme environment. In one aspect the invention of Lamanna comprises an organic hydraulic fluid comprising one or more phosphate esters, a bis(perfluoroalkanesulfonyl)imide salt additive and optionally other adjuvants or components added for optimal hydraulic performance such as viscosity index improvers, acid control components, antioxidants, lubricants, dyes, corrosion inhibitors, antifoamers and the like, and mixtures thereof. See column 4, lines 22-53. Lamanna teaches that substituent M in the formula on lines 38-43, column 4, is H or an inorganic or organic cation including alkali metals and alkaline earth

metals. See also the formulas in column 5, lines 10-35. The examiner is of the position that Lamanna meets the limitations of the functional fluid of the claims when the erosion inhibitor is an anion represented by formula (I) when substituent X is N (nitrogen) and substituent (Y=A) is SO₂ as set forth in the first formula in dependent claims 5, 8, 50 and 68. Lamanna teaches that the amount of the bis(perfluoroalkanesulfonyl)imide additive employed in the hydraulic fluid compositions ranges from as little as 0.001 to as much as 5.0 parts additive per 100 parts of the phosphate ester. See column 9, line 52 to column 10, line 25. The examiner is of the position that the hydraulic fluid compositions of Lamanna meet the limitations of the above rejected claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-41, 48, 56-67 and 74-95 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base-claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

EMcAvoy September 29, 2006